IEA Bioenergy

Task 25

Greenhouse Gas Balances of Bioenergy Systems

An international research collaboration under the auspices of the International Energy Agency



Introduction

IEA Bioenergy is an international collaborative agreement. It was set up in 1978 by the International Energy Agency (IEA) to improve co-operation and information exchange between national bioenergy research, development and demonstration (RD&D) programmes. IEA Bioenergy aims to realise the use of environmentally sound and cost-competitive bioenergy on a sustainable basis, thereby providing a substantial contribution to meeting future energy demands.

IEA Bioenergy is subdivided into Tasks, all of which are supervised by the IEA Bioenergy *Executive Committee*. Each Task has a defined work programme and is led by one of the participating countries *(Operating Agent)*. A *Task Leader*, appointed by the Operating Agent, directs and manages the work programme. In every country, a *National Team Leader* is nominated who is responsible for the co-ordination of the national participation in the Task.

Each participating country pays a modest contribution towards the organisational requirements, and provides in-kind contributions to enable the participation of national experts in a Task.

This collaboration fosters progress in RD&D of new and improved energy technologies for the exploitation of bioenergy resources. It also enables the identification of the environmental implications of bioenergy use.



Surplus straw is regarded a substantial biofuel resource in many countries. (Courtesy of ORNL, USA)

The IEA Bioenergy Task on Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Balances of Bioenergy Systems offers an opportunity to co-ordinate the work of national programmes on the ways GHG balances can be set up for a wide range of bioenergy technologies and on ways of implementing GHG mitigation strategies. The Task was started in 1995 as Task XV, with an initial duration of three years, and is continuing as Task 25 until the end of the year 2000.

Objectives

The goal of Task 25 is to analyse, on a full fuel-cycle basis, all processes involved in the use of bioenergy systems, with the aim of establishing overall GHG balances. Particularly, this means to

- collect and compare existing data of net GHG emissions from various biomass production processes in agriculture and forestry and from biomass conversion;
- improve the common analytical framework ("standard methodology") for the assessment of GHG balances developed within Task XV;
- use the standard methodology to compare different bioenergy options and assist in the selection of appropriate national strategies for GHG mitigation;
- compare bioenergy and fossil energy systems in terms of their GHG balance;
- evaluate the tradeoffs between strategies of maximized carbon storage (afforestation, forest protection) and maximized fossil fuel substitution with biofuels;
- identify missing data and R&D requirements;
- contribute to the work of IPCC/OECD/IEA, especially to promote the possible role of bioenergy for GHG mitigation.

Apart from the scientific value of the results gained, recommendations made by the Task are considered especially useful for decision-makers wishing to determine the maximum net GHG emission reductions achievable from bioenergy projects.

Focus and output

Workshops

Each year, one or two workshops are organised with the aim of attracting experts in the field from around the world, enabling them to exchange their experiences, and to have a creative forum for collaboration.

*Wood logs are an important source for bioenergy.
(Courtesy of JOANNEUM RESEARCH, Austria)*

Bibliography

A bibliography on the Task topic, containing existing publications, unpublished reports, databases, and a directory of researchers and research groups active in the field, with short descriptions of their projects, was first published in February 1996. An updated version shall be available by early 1999.

Standard methodology

One focus of the Task has been to develop a common analytical framework for GHG balances, described in the paper “Towards a standard methodology for greenhouse gas balances of bioenergy systems in comparison with fossil energy systems” (*Biomass & Bioenergy*, 13(6): 359–375), of which a short description is given in this brochure.

Scientific and technical support

The Task has contributed to work of the IPCC/OECD/IEA Programme on National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, especially to set up approaches for estimating net emissions of carbon dioxide from harvested wood products. This issue has important implications, for example, on the incentives to use imported biofuels for GHG mitigation.

Task 25 was also active in interpreting the provisions of the Kyoto Protocol on land use, land-use change and forestry, and will contribute to an IPCC special report on that issue, to be completed by the year 2000.

Networking between national programmes and experts

The work of the Task is organised such that international expertise is made available to the participating countries and the dissemination of Task-related research findings is fostered.

Soil carbon studies on paired land-uses (pasture vs pine) have been carried out at different sites in New Zealand. (Courtesy of Forest Research, New Zealand)

Cornerstones of the standard methodology

Introduction

The increased reliance on bioenergy systems, in place of fossil-fuel based energy systems, could result in net emission savings of greenhouse gases to the atmosphere. In order to understand when such savings are possible, and the magnitude of the opportunities, a systematic framework for estimating the net effect on GHG emissions for the full bioenergy system and the full energy system that it would displace is needed. The major aspects ("cornerstones") of such a common analytical framework or "standard methodology", and a schematic structure, are introduced below.


Clear-cut harvest, Finland.
(Courtesy of Finnish Forest Research Institute, E. Oksanen, Finland)


Afforestation of erodible, marginal pastures is common in New Zealand.
(Courtesy of B. Schlamadinger, Austria)


Native forest, Cairns/Australia.
(Courtesy of B. Schlamadinger, Austria)


The Enocell Pulp Mill, Uimaharju/Finland, is a modern chemical pulp mill which produces excess heat and power by using process wastes as fuel.
(Courtesy of Enso Group, Finland).

Carbon storage dynamics

Carbon storage in plants, plant debris and soils can change when biomass is grown and harvested. Such changes in carbon storage might extend over longer periods of time, after which a new equilibrium is approached, thus necessitating time-dependent analyses.

Trade-offs

Afforestation or forest protection measures may be regarded as effective measures for mitigating the rise of CO_2 in the atmosphere and may compete with bioenergy strategies for land opportunities. In such cases trade-offs between biomass harvest and carbon storage in biomass must be considered. Bioenergy options provide long-term benefits whereas, e.g., afforestation is regarded a temporary measure only.

Permanence

Bioenergy provides an irreversible mitigation effect by reducing carbon dioxide at its source. By contrast, afforestation and forest protection are conditional mitigation options, subject to future management regimes.

Emission factors

Biomass fuels can have higher carbon emission rates (amount of carbon emitted per unit of energy) than fossil fuels (e.g., oil or natural gas). This fact is relevant, however, only when biomass fuels are derived from unsustainable land-use practices, where a decrease of biological carbon stocks occurs over time.

Efficiency

The efficiency of bioenergy systems currently in use may in many cases belower than that of fossil energy systems. However, more recent installations and technology developments (e.g., Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle—IGCC) have brought about highly efficient bioenergy systems.

The IGCC plant in Värnamo/Sweden is the first thermal biomass gasification plant worldwide, with a substantially increased power-to-heat ratio relative to conventional boiler/steam turbines. (Courtesy of Sydkraft, Sweden)

Upstream energy inputs

Production, transport and conversion of biomass fuels require auxiliary inputs of energy, which must be included in the assessment, as must the energy requirements for the supply of fossil fuels on which the reference energy system is based.

Skidding/forwarding of severred seven-year old hybrid cotton wood, James River/USA. (Courtesy of ORNL, USA)

By-products

Bioenergy is often produced as a by-product. There are also cases where bioenergy is the main product and other by-products have to be considered. The emissions and offsets associated with both products and by-products must be estimated and allocated.

Wood from conventional forestry provides residues for energy and is often used for durable wood products, which store carbon and displace more energy-intensive materials. (Courtesy of Forest Research, New Zealand)

Leakage

The use of biomass fuels does not always avoid the use of fossil fuels to the extent suggested by the amount of bioenergy actually used, a phenomenon commonly referred to as "leakage". Biomass may simply provide a new energy source and add to the total energy consumption.

Wood chips storage facility for biomass district heating plant, Bad Mitterndorf/Austria. (Courtesy of LEV, Austria)

Other GHGs

Greenhouse gas emissions associated with both fossil and bioenergy fuel chains include not only CO_2, but other gases (e.g., CH_4 and N_2O) that also alter the radiation balance of the earth's atmosphere.



Seutula landfill gas utilisation plant, Vantaa/Finland. Landfill gas, a mix of methane and CO_2, is explosive and a greenhouse gas of considerable potency. Hence its use for energy has multiple benefits. (Courtesy of Helsinki Metropolitan Area Council YTV, Finland)

Standard methodology: schematic structure



For a description of models based on the standard methodology, developed and applied by Task participants for work relevant to Task 25 (e.g. CBM-CPS/CBM-CFS2, GORCAM, SIMA, STANDPAK/FOLPI, etc.) see: **http://www.joanneum.ac.at/iea-bioenergy-task25/model**

Task publications

Bibliography

Greenhouse gas balances of bioenergy systems: A bibliography including greenhouse gas implications of wood products, forestry and land-use change.[1]
B. Schlamadinger and M. Waupotitsch (eds).
February 1996. 350 entries. 290 pp.
An updated version shall be available by early 1999.

Workshop proceedings

Greenhouse gas balances of bioenergy from forestry and wood industry.
B. Schlamadinger and J. Spitzer (guest eds).
Biomass & Bioenergy, 1997 Special Issue, 13(6).
Proceedings of the Task XV Workshop in Stockholm, Sweden, 29–31 May 1996.

Solid biofuels for carbon dioxide implementation.
F. Bohlin, J. Wisniewski, and J. Wisniewski (guest eds).
Biomass & Bioenergy, 1998 Special Issue, 15(4/5), 1998.
Proceedings of the jointly organized EU-ALTENER/Task XV Workshop "Implementation of solid biofuels for carbon dioxide mitigation" in Uppsala, Sweden, 29–30 September 1997.
A summary is available from the Task Leader.

Effects of the Kyoto Protocol on forestry and bioenergy projects for mitigation of net carbon emissions.[1] B. Schlamadinger and R. Madlener (eds). April 1998.
Proceedings of the Task XV/25 Workshop in Rotorua, New Zealand, 9 and 13 March 1998.
A subset of these proceedings, plus other papers, is forthcoming as a Special Issue of *Environmental Science & Policy* entitled "Land use, land-use change, and forestry in the Kyoto Protocol".

Between COP3 and COP4: The role of bioenergy in achieving the targets stipulated in the Kyoto Protocol. Including a joint session with IEA Bioenergy Task 18.[1]
R. Madlener and K. Pingoud (eds). November 1998.
Proceedings of the Task 25 Workshop in Nokia, Finland, 8–11 September 1998.

Miscellaneous

Accounting system considerations: CO_2 emissions from forests, forest products, and land-use change. A statement from Edmonton.[1, 2]
M. Apps, T. Karjalainen, G. Marland, and B. Schlamadinger. July 1997.

Project-based greenhouse gas accounting: guiding principles with focus on baselines.[1, 2]
L. Gustavsson, T. Karjalainen, G. Marland, I. Savolainen, B. Schlamadinger, and M. Apps. December 1998.

The role of bioenergy in greenhouse gas mitigation.
A position paper prepared by IEA Bioenergy Task 25 "Greenhouse Gas Balances of Bioenergy Systems". November 1998.[1, 2]

Selected national studies

CO_2 mitigation cost: bioenergy systems and natural gas systems with decarbonization.
L. Gustavsson and P. Börjesson.
Energy Policy, 1998, 26(9): 699–713.

Forest harvest and wood products: sources and sinks of atmospheric carbon dioxide.
J. K. Winjum, S. Brown and B. Schlamadinger.
Forest Science, 1998, 44(2).

Carbon balance calculations for forest industries – a review.
J. B. Ford-Robertson.
NZ Forestry, 1997, 42(1): 32–36.

Life cycle assessment of a biomass gasification combined-cycle power system.
M. K. Mann and P. L. Spath.
National Renewable Energy Laboratory, NREL/TP-430-23076, Golden, Colorado, December 1997.
(http://www.eren.doe.gov/biopower/life_cycle.html)

Effects of forest management, harvesting and wood processing on ecosystem carbon dynamics: a boreal case study.
D. T. Price, R. M. Mair, W. A. Kurz, and M. J. Apps.
In: M. J. Apps and D.T. Price (eds), Forest ecosystems, forest management and the global carbon cycle
Springer-Verlag, Berlin/Heidelberg, 1996.

Greenhouse impact of the Finnish forest sector including forest products and waste management.
K. Pingoud, I. Savolainen, and H. Seppälä.
Ambio, 1996, 25(5): 318–326.

New Zealand's planted forests as carbon sinks.
J. P. Maclaren.
Commonwealth Forestry Review, 1996, 75(1): 100–103.

The role of forest and bioenergy strategies in the global carbon cycle.
B. Schlamadinger and G. Marland.
Biomass & Bioenergy, 1996, 10(5/6): 275–300.

Carbon balance on the forest sector in Finland during 1990–2039.
T. Karjalainen, S. Kellomäki, and A. Pussinen.
Climatic Change, 1995, 30: 451–478.

Greenhouse gases emission estimate for Croatia.
V. Jelavić, et al.
State Directorate for Environment, Zagreb, Croatia, 1995.

[1] available from the Task Leader (address overleaf)
[2] can also be found on the Task 25 WWW homepage at: http://www.joanneum.ac.at/iea-bioenergy-task25

Selected results

Selected results of research in the Task 25 countries can be found on the following pages. For further information about the work described here please contact the authors directly.

- **A model of lifecycle energy use and greenhouse-gas emissions of transportation fuels and electricity**
- **Carbon modelling in New Zealand**
- **Modelling the Finnish forest sector carbon balance**
- **CO_2 mitigation cost for biomass and natural gas systems with decarbonization.**
- **Some results from the Graz / Oak Ridge Carbon Accounting Model (GORCAM)**
- **Energy strategy of Croatia: bioenergy use and related GHG emission reductions**
- **Greenhouse gas balances of bioenergy systems in Austria – first results**
- **Accounting for wood products in national greenhouse gas inventories**

A model of lifecycle energy use and greenhouse-gas emissions of transportation fuels and electricity

Prepared by M.A. Delucchi (madelucchi@ucdavis.edu)

This model of fuel-cycle energy use and emissions, developed with funding from the University of California and the U.S. Dept. of Energy, is one of the most detailed and thoroughly documented of its kind. The model or its results have been used by a wide range of public agencies and private firms, including the U.S. Dept. of Energy, the International Energy Agency, and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

The model estimates emissions of greenhouse gases and other pollutants, and the use of energy, for the complete fuel-cycle for a variety of combinations of energy feedstocks, fuels, and end-use technologies.

The table below shows a sample of the output (CO_2-equivalent emissions in g/mile, and % changes relative to gasoline, in the year 2010) for light-duty vehicles using biofuels. Even with a full accounting of all fossil-fuel inputs, biofuels based on biomass as process energy still provide substantial reductions in life-cycle emissions of greenhouse gases relative to gasoline.

General fuel -->	**Ethanol**	**Ethanol**	**Methanol**	**Natural gas**
Fuel spec (feedstock) -->	85% ethanol from corn, 15% gasoline	85% eth. from wood / perenn. grass, 15% gas.	85% methanol from wood, 15% gasoline	Compressed natural gas from wood
Vehicle operation	338.4	338.4	326.6	312.3
Carbon recycled through photosynthesis	-207.7	-207.7	-185.8	-214.7
Fuel dispensing, storage and distribution	9.7	5.9	7.2	14.9
Fuel production	168.1	35.6	48.2	22.8
Feedstock transport	6.8	8.2	8.5	7.6
Feedstock and fertilizer production	67.8	27.3	21.3	19.8
Land-use changes, cultivation ("-": C sink)	44.5	-53.4	-69.4	-73.7
CH_4 and CO_2 leaks and flares	2.2	2.2	2.7	7.0
Emissions credits for coproducts	-34.1	-43.6	0.0	0.0
Total (fuel cycle)	**395.7**	**112.9**	**159.3**	**96.1**
% changes vs. gasoline (fuel cycle)	**-15.8**	**-76.0**	**-66.1**	**-79.6**

Carbon modelling in New Zealand

Prepared by J. Ford-Robertson, P. Maclaren, and K. Robertson (robertsj@rimu.fri.cri.nz)

The calculations to derive carbon stocks in *Pinus radiata* stands are performed within the CARBON module of the stand modelling system STANDPAK, which is widely used by the forest industry in New Zealand. Based on the silvicultural regime of a particular stand, the carbon content of different fractions of the stand can be estimated for the entire rotation, or subsequent rotations. In the Figure below, elements have been combined into major groupings for a 28 year rotation, which includes pruning at age 6, 8 and 9 years, and thinning (to waste) at age 6 and 9 years. Logs are only extracted from the site at clearfell (age 28).



Under the Kyoto Protocol, only forests that have been planted since 1990 can be offset against emissions. In New Zealand, it is expected that commercial forests planted after 1990 ("Kyoto forest") will become increasingly important relative to the existing estate.

The diagram to the upper right shows results from the CARBON module for individual stands, used in conjunction with the National Exotic Forest Description (a database of age and silvicultural regime for all plantations in New Zealand) in the estate modelling system FOLPI. This can be used to model scenarios of new planting rates and estimated rotation lengths to derive estimates of the carbon stocks in the national forest estate.



In a 'normal forest' there is an equal area in each age class. In this case (as shown in the Figure below) when one stand is felled, approximately half of the stand carbon is removed in the logs, and the remainder is oxidised over time in the forest. The remaining stands in the forest continue to sequester carbon thus maintaining an equilibrium of total carbon stock in the entire forest.



Modelling the Finnish forest sector carbon balance

Prepared by K. Pingoud and T. Karjalainen (kim.pingoud@vtt.fi)



Above: the carbon reservoirs (Tg C), the changes in them and the fluxes (Tg C a^{-1}) of the Finnish forest sector in 1990 were estimated by Pingoud et al.(1996). The carbon reservoir of wood-based products *in Finland* and its rate of change were estimated by the aid of direct inventories of wood products in buildings and elsewhere. However, most of the products were exported, and the total reservoir estimates *including the exports* in the above Figure are based on an extrapolation. The calculatory greenhouse gas balance of the Finnish forest sector depends crucially on the approach for estimating the fate of carbon from forest harvesting and wood products (Pingoud, 1997).

An example of the development of carbon stocks in forests and wood products is shown in the Figure below. The simulation was made with a carbon budget model for forests and wood-based products (Karjalainen, 1994). The simulation was started after clearcut. The whole system is a source of carbon during the first ten years, while more carbon is released from decomposing litter and soil organic matter (SOM) than is sequestered by young, growing trees. The stand is thinned tree times (years 39, 61and 94) and clearcut year 100. After each harvest, part of the living biomass is transferred to litter (harvest residues), to wood products and into the atmosphere (processing losses). The model has been applied to estimate the impact of different forest management practices and climate change on forest and wood product carbon budget, both on stand level and on regional level (e.g. Karjalainen 1996, Pussinen et al. 1997, Mäkipää et al. 1998).



CO_2 mitigation cost for biomass and natural gas systems with decarbonization

Prepared by L. Gustavsson and A. Karlsson (leif.gustavsson@miljo.lth.se)

Reductions of greenhouse gas emissions can be achieved by several technological options in the energy supply sector. We have analysed the carbon mitigation cost for biomass systems and natural gas systems with decarbonization.



Upper Figure: end-use and fuel-cycle CO_2 emission of producing 1.0 MWh of power and 1.0 MWh of heat for different energy systems, as well as the CO_2 emission balance when both the fuel-cycle CO_2 emission and the CO_2 emission from the change of land-use are included. Biomass is *Salix* cultivated instead of annual food crops.



Lower Figure: CO_2 mitigation cost when considering the fuel-cycle CO_2 emission including the CO_2 emission from change in land-use. The refer-ence energy system is a natural-gas-fired, cogeneration plant with combined cycle technology and the reference land-use is the cultivation of annual food crops on mineral soils. The current cost of *Salix* in Sweden is about 19 US$/MWh$_{fuel}$ which might be reduced to 13 US$/MWh$_{fuel}$ by improvements in plant-breeding and cultivation methods. Transportation cost of 3 US$/ MWh$_{fuel}$ is included. *Salix* is also a suitable crop for a vegetation filter and if used for waste water treatment the cost including transportation might be 3–8 US$/MWh$_{fuel}$. The CO_2 mitigation cost is lower for biomass systems using IGCC technology than for natural gas systems using decarbonization and the cost could even be negative. [L. Gustavsson and P. Börjesson (1998) *Energy Policy* 26:9, pp. 699–713].

Some results from the Graz / Oak Ridge Carbon Accounting Model (GORCAM)

Prepared by B. Schlamadinger and G. Marland (bernhard.schlamadinger@joanneum.ac.at)

GORCAM is an Excel spreadsheet model that has been developed to calculate the fluxes and stock changes of carbon associated with land use, land-use change, bioenergy and forestry projects. The model considers 1) changes of carbon (C) stored in vegetation, plant litter and soil, 2) reduction of C emissions because biofuels replace fossil fuels, 3) C storage in wood products, 4) reduction of C emissions because wood products replace energy-intensive materials like steel or concrete, 5) recycling or burning of waste-wood, and 6) auxiliary fossil fuels used for production of biofuels and wood products. Some illustrations of model output are shown below.



Modelled scenario for 1 hectare of agricultural land that is afforested to produce biofuels on a 20 year harvest cycle. The diagram shows, successively from the bottom, net carbon (C) uptake in soil and litter, net C increase in trees, and saved C emissions from fossil fuels because biofuels from the plantation are used instead.

There is an input of fossil fuels required for land management, processing biofuels, etc. To the extent that this exceeds the comparable energy requirements of the displaced fossil fuel, the appropriate amount of C emissions is substracted from the top line and the final net gain in C sequestration is represented by the line indicated with the red arrow.



Modelled scenario for a forest of 160 tC/ha that is harvested at time = 0 to produce wood products and biofuels and is then replanted. Due to the initial harvest there is an initial net loss of on-site carbon, so that the baseline of the plot starts at -160 tC/ha. The harvest-rotation period is 60 years.

The diagram shows, successively from the bottom, net carbon (C) uptake in soil and litter (net decreases are represented by a drop in the baseline of the plot), net C increase in trees, net C storage in long-lived products, net C storage in short-lived products, net C storage in landfills, C in fossil fuels not burned due to substitution of wood-based materials for more energy-intensive materials, and C in fossil fuels displaced by biofuels.



Relative advantage after a period of 40 years if surplus agricultural land is used for biofuel production (and fossil fuel substitution) rather than for afforestation without harvest. The net carbon advantage depends on the growth rate of the site and on the efficiency with which fossil fuel carbon emissions are reduced through the use of biofuels. Biofuel production is the better choice especially with efficient use of biomass and for high growth rates.

Energy Strategy of Croatia: bioenergy use and related GHG emission reductions

Prepared by J. Domac and V. Jelavic (jdomac@eihp.hr)

The Energy Strategy of the Republic of Croatia, issued in 1998, has considered three different scenarios. The first of them (S-1, "low") was based on a slow introduction of advanced technologies and does not include any governmental support. The second scenario (S-2, "moderate") includes stronger concerted policy for introduction of new technologies, use of renewables and increasing energy efficiency. Finally, the third scenario (S-3, "high"), a "very environmental" scenario, comprises that problems with pollution and greenhouse effects will significantly affect energy policy in Croatia as early as 2010. Unlike other renewables, bioenergy has a significant position in all scenarios (see Figure below).

Energy scenarios for biomass use in Croatia



Bioenergy systems in Croatia offer significant possibilities for GHG emission reductions in Croatia (more than 10 % in scenario S-3) and should be given more attention in the future (see Figure and Table to the right).

Past and future energy production and biomass use in Croatia



Contribution of bioenergy systems to GHG emission reductions in Croatia

Scenario/year	2010	2030
S-1 ("low")	5,9 %	5,4 %
S-2 ("moderate")	6,3 %	7,0 %
S-3 ("high")	6,5 %	10,1 %

Research of GHG balances of bioenergy systems in Croatia involves scientists and experts from the following institutions: EKONERG holding, Energy Institute "Hrvoje Pozar", and the State Directorate for Environment.

Greenhouse gas balances of bioenergy systems in Austria – first results

Prepared by G. Jungmeier (gerfried.jungmeier@joanneum.ac.at)

In this project we apply the standard methodology developed by IEA Bioenergy Task 25. Different bioenergy systems, supplying electricity and/or heat from various sources of biomass, are analysed based on the situation in Austria in 2000 and 2020. The life cycle emissions of greenhouse gases (CO_2, N_2O, CH_4) are calculated for about 300 biomass and 100 fossil energy systems and compared with each other. Greenhouse gas implications of land-use changes, reference use of biomass and of by-products are considered.

The first results of the life-cycle greenhouse gas emisisons, here for heat supply systems, demonstrate that some bioenergy systems are associated with "negative" emissions, as shown in the diagram below for biogas and methylester. In the case of biogas this is mainly because emissions from the reference biomass use are avoided (the reference use of manure is storing the manure – associated with uncontrolled emissions of methane). In the case of biodiesel it is due to substitution effects of by-products (the by-products of methyl ester are glycerin that substitutes for conventionally produced glycerin for chemical use and rape cake that substitutes for soybean feed).

The comparison of bioenergy systems with fossil energy systems shows that a significant reduction of greenhouse gas emissions is predicted in all possible "combinations" of bioenergy and fossil energy systems in the diagram. The net reduction of emissions is greatest when central heating based on lignite briquetts is displaced by central heating with biogas from cow manure.



CH.....central heating, ST.....stove, DH.....district heating, HP.....heat pump

1 g CO_2 = 1 g CO_2 Eq.$_{100}$, 1 g CH_4 = 21 g CO_2 Eq.$_{100}$, 1 g N_2O = 310 g CO_2 Eq.$_{100}$

Accounting for wood products in national greenhouse gas inventories

Prepared by B. Schlamadinger (bernhard.schlamadinger@joanneum.ac.at)

The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has prepared Guidelines which countries use to prepare inventories of their greenhouse gas emissions. For carbon fluxes in forestry and wood products the IPCC approach (shown in the upper left diagram) has been used in the last few years, which essentially only considers carbon stock changes in forests. IPCC is now investigating alternative approaches (1 to 3 in the diagrams below) to better deal with wood products. These approaches are presented here. The Task 25 involvement comes from our concern that biomass fuels continue to be treated as a renewable source of energy in national greenhouse gas inventories.



Current IPCC approach: stock-changes in forests of a country are accounted for in the national inventory of greenhouse gas emissions. The sytem boundary is around the forest of a particular country. Biomass fuels are accounted for as CO_2-neutral.

Stock change = forest growth - slash - wood production



Alternative 1: stock-change approach: stock-changes in forests of a country, and in addition in wood products used in that country, are accounted for in the national inventory of greenhouse gas emissions. The sytem boundary is around the forests and wood products of a particular country. Biomass fuels are accounted for as CO_2 neutral.

Stock change = (forest growth - slash - wood production) + (wood consumption – decomposition / combustion of wood consumed)



Alternative 2: production approach: stock-changes in forests of a country, and in addition in wood products produced by that country, are accounted for in the national inventory of greenhouse gas emissions. The sytem boundary is around the forests of a particular country, and around the products from wood grown in that country. Biomass fuels are accounted for as CO2 neutral.

Stock change = (forest growth - slash - wood production) + (wood production - decomposition / combustion of wood grown in country)



Alternative 3: atmospheric flow approach: carbon flows to and from the atmosphere are acounted for in the national inventory of greenhouse gas emissions. The system boundary is between the country and the atmosphere. Biofuels are treated like fossil fuels, i.e., the end user reports emissions from combustion.

Atmospheric flow = forest growth - slash – decomposition / combustion of wood consumed

Further information

A central goal of IEA Bioenergy is to promote cooperative work between the participating countries and to encourage additional participation. Currently eight countries participate in IEA Bioenergy Task 25: Austria, Canada, Croatia, Finland, New Zealand, Sweden, the United Kingdom and the United States of America. The Operating Agent is the Republic of Austria. For further information, please visit the Task 25 WWW homepage (see below), or contact the Task Leader or one of the National Team Leaders.

Task Leader

Bernhard Schlamadinger
JOANNEUM RESEARCH, Elisabethstrasse 5, A-8010 Graz, Austria
Phone: (+43 316) 876 -1340, Fax: (+43 316) 876 -1320
E-mail: bernhard.schlamadinger@joanneum.ac.at

National Team Leaders

Country	Name	Affiliation	E-mail address
Austria	Bernhard Schlamadinger	JOANNEUM RESEARCH	(see above)
Canada	Michael Apps	Natural Resources Canada	mapps@nrcan.gc.ca
Croatia	Vladimir Jelavić	EKONERG Holding	vjelavic@open.hr
Finland	Ilkka Savolainen	VTT Energy	ilkka.savolainen@vtt.fi
New Zealand	Justin Ford-Robertson	New Zealand Forest Research Institute Ltd.	robertsj@fri.cri.nz
Sweden	Leif Gustavsson	Lund University	leif.gustavsson@miljo.lth.se
U.K.	Robert Matthews	Forestry Commission Research Agency	r.matthews@forestry.gov.uk
U.S.A.	Gregg Marland	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	gum@ornl.gov

http://www.forestresearch.cri.nz/ieabioenergy/home.htm





http://www.joanneum.ac.at/iea-bioenergy-task25

IEA Bioenergy: T25: 1998: 03a
This folder was designed and published on behalf of IEA Bioenergy Task 25
by JOANNEUM RESEARCH Forschungsgesellschaft mbH, Steyrergasse 17, A-8010 Graz, AUSTRIA. November 1998.
The contributions of all Task participants are gratefully acknowledged.
Cover photographs: courtesy of Forest Research, New Zealand (1, 4, 5); RIKA, Austria (2); JOANNEUM RESEARCH, Austria (3); CONTRAST (6).